1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 * * * 4 NEWMARK GROUP, INC., G&E Case No. 2:15-cv-00531-RFB-EJY ACQUISITION COMPANY, LLC, and BGC 5 REAL ESTATE OF NEVADA, LLC, 6 Plaintiffs, 7 **ORDER** v. 8 AVISON YOUNG (CANADA) INC.; AVISON YOUNG (USA) INC.; AVISON 9 YOUNG-NEVADA, LLC, MARK ROSE, THE NEVADA COMMERCIAL GROUP, 10 JOHN PINJUV, and JOSEPH KUPIEC; DOES 1 through 5; and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 11 6 through 10, 12 Defendants. 13 14 Before the Court is Defendants' Motion for Leave to Redact Motion to Compel and File 15 Under Seal Certain Exhibits. ECF No. 417. No response to this Motion was filed. 16 As the party seeking to seal a judicial record, Plaintiffs must meet their burden of overcoming 17 the strong presumption in favor of access and public policies favoring disclosure. Kamakana v. City 18 and Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-79 (9th Cir. 2006) (holding that those who seek to 19 maintain the secrecy of documents attached to dispositive motions must meet the high threshold of 20 "compelling reasons" support secrecy). However, 21 seeks to seal documents attached to a non-dispositive motion, the "public policies that support the 22 right of access to dispositive motions ... do not apply with equal force" Kamakana, 417 F.3d 23 at 1179 (citation omitted). 24 The mere fact that the production of records may lead to a party's embarrassment, 25 incrimination, or exposure to further litigation will not alone compel the court to seal its 26 records. Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1136 (9th Cir. 2003). Compelling reasons require a demonstration of something more, such as when court files have become a vehicle 27

for improper purposes, including use of records to gratify private spite, promote public scandal,

28

Case 2:15-cv-00531-RFB-EJY Document 428 Filed 12/14/20 Page 2 of 2